arXiv:1608.06605v2 [math.AT] 26 Aug 2016

ON THE ODD PRIMARY HOMOLOGY OF FREE ALGEBRAS OVER THE SPECTRAL LIE OPERAD

JENS JAKOB KJAER

Abstract. The derivatives of the identity functor on spaces in Goodwillie calculus forms an operad in spectra. Antolin-Camarena computed the mod 2 homology of free algebras over this operad for 1-connected spectra. In this present paper we carry out similar computations for mod p homology for odd primes p.

Introduction

It is known from [CLM76] that if a spectrum is an algebra over an E_∞ operad then it admits certain operations on its mod p homology, the Dyer-Lashof operations. These have proven to be of great use in many computations. A different operad that is of interest to many topologists is the spectral Lie operad, ∂_*, and it has been shown in [Beh11] that algebras over this operad admit certain Dyer-Lashof-like operations, in this paper called Lie power operations, on their mod 2 homology, as well as a Lie bracket.

A strategy for computing unstable homotopy groups is through ghd Goodwillie tower (as defined in [Goo03]) for the identity functor. This tower gives a spectral sequence inputing $\pi_* D_n(X)$ and converging to $\pi_* X$, for a highly connected pointed space X, where $D_n(X)$ are some collection of infinite loop spaces, $D_n(X) = \Omega^\infty \mathbb{D}_n(X)$, whose homotopy we hope to be able to compute. The fact that the spaces $D_n(X)$ appear as 0'th spaces of spectra implies that we should be able to bring the full weight of stable computations to bear, hopefully allowing us to compute unstable homotopy groups from stable homotopy groups. An example of this program was carried out in [Beh12]. The spectra $\mathbb{D}_n(X)$ have the form $(\partial_n \wedge X^{\wedge n})_{h\Sigma_n}$, where ∂_n is the n'th spectrum of the spectral Lie operad, since ∂_n is in fact the n'th Goodwillie derivative of the identity functor. In [AM99] the homology of $\mathbb{D}_n(S^l)$ was computed, and a basis was given in terms of unadmissable sequences of Dyer-Lashof operations. It was shown in [Beh11] that these unadmissable sequences of Dyer-Lashof like operations at the prime 2 are in a very precise manner the same as the Lie power operations from above.

A different perspective on the symmetric sequence ∂_* is given in [Chi05], where the operadic structure was constructed. There it is shown that ∂_* is the Koszul dual of the commutative cooperad, which in fact gives its name of spectral Lie operad, as the Koszul dual of the algebraic commutative operad

Date: August 29, 2016.

is the shifted algebraic Lie operad [GK$^+$94]. These facts suggests that ∂_* is a very essential operad, and hence worthy of more study.

In this paper we will study the odd primary homology of algebras over the spectral Lie operad. The definition of the Lie power operations and Lie bracket studied in this paper for the prime $p = 2$ was first given by Behrens in [Beh11], and the computation of the homology of free algebras was carried out by Antolin-Camarena in [AC15]. Much of what follows here mirrors the strategies found in these references. We will in section 1 discuss the operadic structure of ∂_*, as well as give two different cell structures to the spectra ∂_n. The first is the arboreal cell structure, and comes from the operadic structure in [Chi05]. The second is the simplicial cell structure and was leveraged in the homology computations of [AM99]. I will also briefly identify the first differential in a certain spectral sequence that is of great use to us. Section 2 will make up the brunt of the paper. We will define the Lie power operations and Lie bracket, prove that the bracket in fact does deserve its name, as well as the fact that all power operations bracket trivially with everything. We will then move on to give a basis for the free algebra on an odd sphere using the computation in [AM99], and will then leverage this basis, as well as the study of the EHP sequence in [Beh12], to do the same for even spheres. Hereafter we will be ready to state and prove our main result in section 3. The result is a basis for the homology of the free algebra over the spectral Lie operad on any 1-connected spectrum. We will finish by stating a conjecture that the relations the Lie power operations satisfy are the mixed Adem relations. This was shown in [Beh12] for $p = 2$, unfortunately the techniques used here to determine the relations does not generalize to odd primes.

1. Preliminaries

Throughout this article let p be a fixed odd prime. All homology is computed with $\mathbb{Z}/p$ coefficients. We will need a good symmetric monoidal category of spectra, so take spectra to mean the category of symmetric spectra as developed in [HSS00].

1.1. **The Spectral Lie Operad.** We will let $\{\partial_*\}$ denote the symmetric sequence of spectra of the derivatives of the identity $Top_* \to Top_*$. Recall from [Chi05] that $\{\partial_*\}$ has an operadic structure with structure maps in spectra:

$$\xi_{n,k_1,\dots,k_n} : \partial_n \wedge \partial_{k_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \partial_{k_n} \to \partial_{k_1+\dots+k_n}.$$

By abuse of notation we will drop the indices on all of these maps throughout. As it is Koszul dual to the commutative cooperad in spectra we will call $\{\partial_*\}$ the spectral Lie operad.

Cell Structures and Trees. As the goal is to do computations in homology, a good understanding of the cell structure of ∂_n is needed. We will employ two different such. The first one, called the arboreal cell structure, comes

from Ching's description of the topological operadic bar construction, and is therefore well behaved with respect to the operadic structure maps. The second, called the simplicial cell structure, comes from the cosimplicial filtration of the operadic bar construction, this has proved valuable in the homology computations carried out by Arone and Mahowald [AM99], but is not well behaved with the operadic structure. In either case the cells will be labelled by certain trees.

When we write tree, we will always mean a rooted tree with the valence of the root and leaves being 1, the valence of any internal edge being greater than 2, and with unique labels on the leaves. Given a tree T, we will use $E(T)$ to denote the set of edges, and $V(T)$ to denote the set of vertices. An internal vertex is any vertex that is not a leaf or the root.

Definition 1.1. *A metric tree is a tree, T, together with a map $m : E(T) \to [0,1]$ such that if $e_1, \dots, e_n$ is any path from the root to a leave then $\Sigma_{i=1}^{n} m(e_i) = 1$.*

Recall that [Chi05] defines pointed topological spaces ∂^n, such that the Spanier-Whitehead dual of ∂^n is ∂_n. A point of ∂^n is either given by a metric tree with labels $\{1, \dots, n\}$, or is the base point, where we identify a tree with an edge of metric 0 with the tree where we have collapsed this edge if it exists or the basepoint. Ching defined the operadic structure thinking about metric trees. Chings arboreal construction of ∂^n leads to a cell decomposition of ∂_n, where each cell is labelled by an isomorphism class of a labelled trees, with labels $\{1, \dots, n\}$. The dimension of the cell represented by such a tree is given by $-k$ where k is the number of internal vertices. We will call this cell decomposition the arboreal cell decomposition. The operadic structure induces maps

$$\xi_* : C_*^{CW}(\partial_n) \otimes C_*^{CW}(\partial_{k_1}) \otimes \dots \otimes C_*^{CW}(\partial_{k_n}) \to C_*^{CW}(\partial_{k_1+\dots+k_n})$$

which take $T \otimes T^{(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes T^{(n)}$ to the cell labelled by the tree gotten by identifying the root edge of $T^{(i)}$ with the edge attached to the leaf of T labelled i (See the example in Figure 1).



FIGURE 1. An example of the operadic structure on arboreal cells. The dotted and dashed edges indicate the gluing.

Definition 1.2. *A levelled tree is a tree, T, together with a function $l : V(T) \to [0,1]$ such that the root goes to 0, the leaves to 1, and if u is descendant of v then $l(v) \leq l(u)$. The number of levels of a tree with levels is the cardinality of the image of l-2.*

We say that two levelled trees T and T' are isomorphic if there is an isomorphism of the trees, and an increasing function $\phi : [0,1] \to [0,1]$ making the obvious diagram commute.

There is a different cell structure on ∂_n where each cell is given by an isomorphism class levelled tree with labels $\{1, \dots, n\}$, as given in [Beh12], the class of a levelled tree T is in dimension $-k$ where k is the number of levels, and the gluing data comes from collapsing levels. We will call this cell-decomposition the simplicial cell decomposition.

Note that in both cell decompositions ∂_n, for $n > 1$, has exactly one -1 cell. The cell is given by the tree having exactly one internal vertex, we call this tree T_n.

Note both cell structures are compatible with the Σ_n-equivariant structure, by letting Σ_n permute the labels. The equivariant cells corresponds to (levelled, in the case of the simplicial description) trees T is given by $\Sigma_n/\Sigma_T \times D^k$, where T represents a k-cell in the non-equivariant case and Σ_T is the automorphism group of T.

A Small Forest of Examples.

Definition 1.3. *Let $T^{j,i}$ for $1 \leq j \leq i$, denote the labelled tree, with labels $\{1, \dots, i\}$, depicted below*



where $\hat{j}$ denotes that the label j is omitted.

In the arboreal structure this represents a -2 cell.

Definition 1.4. *Let $T_{n,k}$ be a levelled tree with labels $\{1, \dots, n^k\}$, where each internal vertex has n-descendants, and k-levels.*

In the simplicial cell structure this represent a $-k$ cell.

Note that $T_{n,1} = T_n$.

Example 1.5. *The tree $T_{3,2}$ is:*



where the dashed horizontal lines indicate levels.

1.2. **Computational Methods.** In this paper we will often have need of calculating the homology groups $H_*(\partial_n \wedge_{hG} X)$ for some $G \subset \Sigma_n$ where X is a G-spectrum. We will do this using the arboreal cell decomposition of ∂_n to give a spectral sequence

Lemma 1.6. *There is a spectral sequence coming from the arboreal cell decompostion of ∂_n with:*

$$E^1_{k,*} := \bigoplus_T H_*(\Sigma^{-k} X_{h\Sigma'_T}) \Rightarrow H_*(\partial_n \wedge_{hG} X)$$

where the direct sum is over G equivalence classes of trees T with k internal vertices, and $\Sigma'_T := G \cap \Sigma_T$

the following lemma allows us to identify the d_1-differentials:

Lemma 1.7. *Let G be a subgroup of Σ_n, and Y be a G-spectrum. In the spectral sequence computing $H_*(\partial_n \wedge_{hG} Y)$, from the G-equivariant arboreal cell decomposition of ∂_n, d_1 is a sum of transfers and trivial maps.*

Proof. Recall that d_1 is given by

$$\bigoplus_T H_*(S^{-s} \wedge X_{h\Sigma'_T}) \xrightarrow{\delta} H_{*-1}(\partial_n^{(-s-1)} \wedge_{hG} X) \to \bigoplus_{T'} H_{*-1}(S^{-s-1} \wedge X_{h\Sigma'_{T'}})$$

where the first direct sum are over $-s$ G-cells of ∂_n, labelled by orbits of trees T under the G action, and the second by $-s-1$ cells, labelled by orbits of trees T' under the G action, and $\partial_n^{(-s-1)}$ is the $(-s-1)$-skeleton of ∂_n. δ comes from the cofiber sequence

$$\partial_n^{(-s-1)} \longrightarrow \partial_n^{-s} \longrightarrow \bigvee_T S^{-s} \xrightarrow{\delta} \Sigma\partial_n^{(-s-1)}.$$

And $\Sigma'_T, \Sigma'_{T'} \subset G$ are as above. Let T be a tree obtained from T' be collapsing an edge, and assume that T' has $s+1$ internal vertices (and hence T has s), note that this further implies that $\Sigma_{T'} \subset \Sigma_T$. Therefore our goal is now showing that the restriction:

$$H_*(S^{-s} \wedge X_{h\Sigma'_T}) \xrightarrow{\bar{d_1}} H_{*-1}(S^{-s-1} \wedge X_{h\Sigma'_{T'}})$$

is in fact the transfer map. If we run the spectral sequence computing $H_*(\partial_n \wedge X)$ from the cells of ∂_n then the analogous map $H_*(S^{-s} \wedge X) \to H_{*-1}(S^{-s-1} \wedge X)$, coming from the attaching map between T and T', would be the identity. Note for all $\sigma \in G$, the cell represented by $\sigma \cdot T$ is obtained from $\sigma \cdot T'$ by collapsing an edge. Note that we can think of $\bar{d_1}$ as induced by applying $(-)_{hG}$ to

$$\bigvee_{\sigma \in G/\Sigma'_T} X \to \bigvee_{\sigma \in G/\Sigma'_{T'}} X$$

which is equivalent to applying $(-)_{h\Sigma_T}$ to

$$X \to \bigvee_{\sigma \in \Sigma_T/\Sigma_{T'}} X$$

which is exactly the definition of the transfer. □

2. Homology Operations

We will in this section define and prove certain relations for the Lie power operations, as well as a Lie bracket. Let L be an algebra over the operad ∂_*, with structure maps $\xi_n : \partial_n \wedge L^{\wedge n} \to L$. We will again drop all indices, and thus use ξ for structure maps for both the operad itself and its algebras.

2.1. **Power operations.** We wish to define Lie power operations $\overline{\beta^\epsilon Q^i} : H_*(L) \to H_{*+2(p-1)i-\epsilon-1}(L)$.

Recall from [CLM76] that we have maps $q_{i,\epsilon} : H_*(L) \to H_{*+2(p-1)i-\epsilon}(L^{\wedge p}_{h\Sigma_p})$, taking $x \mapsto \beta Q^i(x)$. In $C_*(\partial_p \wedge_{h\Sigma_p} L^{\wedge p})$ we have an element $T_p \otimes q_{i,\epsilon}(x)$, given by the cell represented by the tree T_p in either cell description of ∂_p. Then we have the following

Lemma 2.1. *$T_p \otimes q_{i,\epsilon}(x)$ represents a class in $H_*(\partial_p \wedge_{h\Sigma_p} L^{\wedge p})$.*

Proof. Recall from I.1 of [BMMS86] that $H_*(\partial_p \wedge_{h\Sigma_p} L^{\wedge p})$ can be calculated by the chain complex $W \otimes_{\Sigma_p} (C_*^{CW}(\partial_p) \otimes C_*(L)^{\otimes p})$, where W is a free resolution of $\mathbb{Z}/p$ as a trivial $\mathbb{F}_p[\Sigma_p]$ module. In the arboreal cell decomposition we have that $d(T_p)$ is the sum over all trees with two internal vertices. Organizing these in Σ_p-orbits we see that each orbit contains a p-multiple of trees, and hence $d(T_p \otimes q_{i,\epsilon}(x)) = 0$. □

Definition 2.2. *For $x \in H_*(L)$, and $\xi : \partial_p \wedge_{h\Sigma_p} L^{\wedge p} \to L$ define:*

$$\overline{\beta^\epsilon Q^i}(x) := \xi_*(T_p \otimes q_{i,\epsilon}(x)) \in H_{*+2(p-1)i-\epsilon-1}(L)$$

Remark 2.3. *If $2n - 1 \leq |x|$ then both $\overline{\beta Q^i}(x)$ and $\overline{Q^j}(x)$ are trivial for $i, j < n$. Since the same is true of $\beta Q^i x$ and $Q^j x$ in $H_*(L^p_{h\Sigma_p})$*

2.2. **The Bracket.** We can also define a Lie bracket on the algebra by the map $\xi : \partial_2 \wedge L \wedge L \to L$:

Definition 2.4. *For $x \in H_i(L)$, and $y \in H_j(L)$ define $[x, y] \in H_{i+j-1}(L)$ by $[x, y] := \xi_*(T_2 \otimes x \otimes y)$.*

Proposition 2.5. *The bracket satisfies the following relations for $x, y, z \in H_*(L)$.*

- $[x, y] = (-1)^{|x||y|}[y, x]$ *(Graded Commutativity)*
- $(-1)^{|x||z|}[x, [y, z]] + (-1)^{|y||z|}[y, [z, x]] + (-1)^{|z||y|}[z, [x, y]] = 0$ *(The Graded Jacobi Identity)*

Remark 2.6. *Note that our Lie bracket does not satisfy the usual conventions for either the graded Lie bracket (due to dimension) or the shifted graded Lie bracket (due to sign conventions, see for example* [KM95] *for a different sign convention). We will later see in Corollary 2.15 that for $p = 3$ the usual axiom $[x, [x, x]] = 0$ still holds.*

Proof of Prop. 2.5. We clearly see that $[x, y] = (-1)^{|x||y|}[y, x]$ since $\partial_2 \simeq S^{-1}$ with the trivial Σ_2 action. Since L is an algebra over ∂_*, the following diagram commutes:


$\partial_2 \wedge L \wedge (\partial_2 \wedge L \wedge L)$
$1 \wedge \xi$
$\partial_2 \wedge L \wedge L$
ξ
L
$\simeq$
$\partial_2 \wedge (\partial_1 \wedge L) \wedge (\partial_2 \wedge L \wedge L)$
σ
$\partial_2 \wedge \partial_1 \wedge \partial_2 \wedge L \wedge L \wedge L$
ξ
$\partial_3 \wedge L \wedge L \wedge L$

Pick $x, y, z \in H_*(L)$ and apply homology to the diagram. If we start with $T_2 \otimes x \otimes (T_2 \otimes y \otimes z)$ in the upper left corner we get $[x, [y, z]]$ in the upper right corner. In the lower left corner we get by the operadic structure $T^{1,3} \otimes x \otimes y \otimes z$. Using the permutation action we see that $(-1)^{|x||y|+|x||z|}T^{2,3} \otimes x \otimes y \otimes z$ maps to $[y, [z, x]]$ since the diagram above is Σ_3-equivariant, and in the same manner $(-1)^{|z||y|+|x||z|}T^{2,3} \otimes x \otimes y \otimes z$. Now let by abuse of notation the trees T_3 and $T^{i,3}$ $i = 1, 2, 3$ be the cells of ∂_3 in the arboreal cell decomposition, then the chain differential is $d(T_3) = T^{1,3} + T^{2,3} + T^{3,3}$, so if we let x, y, z also denote cycles in the cellular chain complex for L then the following boundary $d((-1)^{|x||z|}T_3 \otimes x \otimes y \otimes z)$ in the chain complex computing $H_*(\partial_3 \wedge L \wedge L \wedge L)$ enforces the relation:

$$(-1)^{|x||z|}[x, [y, z]] + (-1)^{|y||z|}[y, [z, x]] + (-1)^{|z||y|}[z, [x, y]] = 0$$

□

We have further the following interaction of the bracket and the Lie power operations:

Proposition 2.7. *For all $x, y \in H_*L$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and $\epsilon = 0, 1$, $[x, \overline{\beta^\epsilon Q^k} y] = 0$.*

Proof. Let $x : \Sigma^i H\mathbb{F}_p \to H\mathbb{F}_p \wedge L$ and $y : \Sigma^j H\mathbb{F}_p \to H\mathbb{F}_p \wedge L$ represent x and y. Then completely analogous to the proof of Lemma 6.5 in [AC15], we reduce it to computing $\pi_*(\partial_{1+p} \wedge \Sigma^i H\mathbb{F}_p \otimes \Sigma^{pj} H\mathbb{F}_p)_{h1\times\Sigma_p}$, where $\otimes := \wedge_{H\mathbb{F}_p}$. Here we will diverge from from Antolin-Camarena's proof and not actually compute the entire homology. Studying the arboreal cell structure we see that:

$$\xi_* : C_*^{CW}(\partial_2 \wedge \partial_1 \wedge \partial_p) \to C_*^{CW}(\partial_{1+p})$$
$$T_2 \otimes T_1 \otimes T_p \mapsto T^{1,1+p}$$

and hence we just need to study whether the cell $T^{1,1+p}$ represents a class in the homology. Here we will need the spectral sequence coming from the arboreal $1 \times \Sigma_p$-cell decomposition of ∂_{1+p} (see Figure 2 for the example $p = 3$, with $T^{1,4}$ in bold), and its d_1-differentials. To do this we will need to subdivide into to cases depending on the parity of j.



FIGURE 2. The $1 \times \Sigma_3$-equivariant arboreal cells of ∂_4 with isometry groups.

If j is even then $1 \times \Sigma_p$ acts trivially on $\Sigma^i H\mathbb{F}_p \otimes \Sigma^{pj} H\mathbb{F}_p$ and hence $(\partial_{1+p} \wedge \Sigma^i H\mathbb{F}_p \otimes \Sigma^{pj} H\mathbb{F}_p)_{h1\times\Sigma_p} \simeq \Sigma^{i+pj} H\mathbb{F}_p \wedge (\partial_{1+p})_{h1\times\Sigma_p}$. So our goal is now to study if the cell, represented by $T^{1,1+p}$ in ∂_{1+p}, represents a class in homology. To study this we will study the spectral sequence coming from the $1 \times \Sigma_p$-cellular decomposition of ∂_{1+p}. As there is exactly one -1-cell of ∂_{1+p} corresponding to T_{1+p}, which has isometry group $1 \times \Sigma_p$, as for -2-cells there are $T^{1,1+p}$ with isometry group $1 \times \Sigma_p$, and cells with isometry groups isomorphic to G where $G \subset 1 \times \Sigma_p$, with $p \nmid |G|$. So the d_1 in this spectral

sequence goes from $H_*(S^{-1}_{h1\times\Sigma_p}) \to H_*(\Sigma S^{-2}_{h1\times\Sigma_p}) \bigoplus \{\text{other cells}\}$, is exactly the sum of the relevant transfer maps by Lemma 1.7 below. So for $* > 0$ this is isomorphic onto $H_*(\Sigma S^{-2}_{h1\times\Sigma_p})$ representing the cell $T^{1,1+p}$, since the other cells have trivial homology for $* > 0$ since G has trivial Sylow-p- Subgroup. Now we turn out attention to d_1 with source $H_{-1}(S^{-1}_{h1\times\Sigma_p})$. Again we can identify it with the transfer, and hence we know it is trivial onto the cells different from $T^{1,1+p}$. We can therefore conclude that the cell $T^{1,1+p}$ does not represent a class in homology and hence we are done.

The proof for j odd runs similar though we are required to do slightly different computations. We are again interested in the role the cell $T^{1,1+p}$ plays in $(\partial_{1+p} \wedge \Sigma^i H\mathbb{F}_p \otimes \Sigma^{pj} H\mathbb{F}_p)_{h1\times\Sigma_p} \simeq \Sigma^i(\partial_{1+p} \wedge \Sigma^{pj} H\mathbb{F}_p)_{h1\times\Sigma_p}$. As before we turn our attention to the spectral sequence coming from the $1\times\Sigma_p$-CW-decomposition of ∂_{1+p}, and the d_1 with source $H_*(S^{-1}\wedge S^{pj})_{h1\times\Sigma_p}$ which is the product of transfers to the various -2-cells, again by Lemma 1.7 below. So we need to calculate $H_*(S^{-2}\wedge S^{pj})_{h\Sigma_T}$ where Σ_T is the isometry for some tree, T, with two internal vertices different from $T^{1,1+p}$. We clearly see that $p \nmid |\Sigma_T|$. We use the standard homology of homotopy orbits spectral sequence to compute this. So $E^2_{s,t} = H_s(\Sigma_T, H_t(S^{pj})) \Rightarrow H_{s+t}(S^{pj}_{h\Sigma_T})$, this spectral sequence has two rows: Row 0 consisting of $H_s(\Sigma_T, \mathbb{F}_p)$ which is trivial unless $s = 0$, and row pj which is $H_s(\Sigma_T, \mathbb{F}_p^+)$, where $\mathbb{F}_p^+$ is the sign representation, which is always trivial since $p \nmid |\Sigma_T|$. Hence $H_*(S^{-2} \wedge S^{pj})_{h\Sigma_T}$ is trivial unless $* = 0$ so, the d_1 with source $H_*(S^{-1} \wedge S^{pj})_{h1\times\Sigma_p}$ for $* > -1$ is an isomorphism onto $H_*(S^{-2} \wedge S^{pj})_{h1\times\Sigma_p}$ representing the cell $T^{1,1+p}$. Further as above we easily see that d_1 with source $H_{-1}(S^{-1} \wedge S^{pj})_{h1\times\Sigma_p}$ is trivial onto the cells different from $T^{1,1+p}$. Hence we can conclude that the cell $T^{1,1+p}$ does not represent a class in homology and hence we are done. □

2.3. **The Odd Dimensional Case.** The next natural question is which relations these operations satisfy.

Definition 2.8. *For any spectrum X define $\mathbb{D}_n(X) := (\partial_n \wedge X^{\wedge n})_{h\Sigma_n}$ where Σ_n permutes the copies of $X^{\wedge n}$.*

In [AM99] there is the following result:

Theorem 2.9 (Arone-Mahowald, [AM99])**.** *For $l \geq 0$ $H_*(\mathbb{D}_{p^k}(S^{2l+1}))$ is the free graded $\mathbb{Z}/p$-module on generators:*

$$\left\{[\beta^{\epsilon_1} Q^{s_1} \wr \cdots \wr \beta^{\epsilon_k} Q^{s_k} \iota] \middle| s_k \geq l+1,\ s_i > p s_{i+1} - \epsilon_{i+1}\, \forall i\right\}$$

where as usual $s_i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\epsilon_i = 0, 1$, ι is a generator of $H_{2l+1}S^{2l+1}$, and $\left|[\beta^{\epsilon_1} Q^{s_1} \wr \cdots \wr \beta^{\epsilon_k} Q^{s_k} \iota]\right| = 2l + 1 + 2(p-1)(s_1 + \ldots + s_k) - \epsilon_1 - \ldots - \epsilon_k - k$.

If $i \neq p^k$ for any k then $H_*\mathbb{D}_i(S^{2l+1}) = 0$.

We call sequences of the form $(\epsilon_1, s_1, \ldots, \beta_k, s_k)$ completely unadmissable if $s_k \geq l$, and $s_i > p s_{i+1} - \epsilon_{i+1}$ for all i. Recall that this description was

found since there is a surjective homomorphism

$$\Sigma^{-k}H_*((S^{2l+1})^{\wedge p^k}_{h\Sigma_p^{\wr k}}) \to H_*(\mathbb{D}_{p^k}(S^{2l+1}))$$
$$\beta^{\epsilon_1}Q^{s_1} \wr \cdots \wr \beta^{\epsilon_k}Q^{s_k}\iota \mapsto [\beta^{\epsilon_1}Q^{s_1} \wr \cdots \wr \beta^{\epsilon_k}Q^{s_k}\iota]$$

coming from the a spectral sequence similar to Lemma 1.6, but thinking about the simplicial cell structure instead. So here $\Sigma^{-k}H_*((S^{2l+1})^{\wedge p^k}_{h\Sigma_p^{\wr k}})$ comes from the $(-k)$-cell represented by the tree $T_{p,k}$. Note that for X a spectrum, we have that $\bigvee_i \mathbb{D}_i(X)$ is an algebra over ∂_*, and hence we can think of the Lie power operations as being: $\overline{\beta^\epsilon Q^i} : H_*(\mathbb{D}_{p^k}(X)) \to H_{*+2(p-1)i-\epsilon-1}(\mathbb{D}_{p^{k+1}}(X))$.

Proposition 2.10. *For $l \geq 0$ we have in $H_*(\mathbb{D}_{p^k}(S^{2l+1}))$ that $[\beta^{\epsilon_1}Q^{s_1} \wr \cdots \wr \beta^{\epsilon_k}Q^{s_k}\iota] = \overline{\beta^{\epsilon_1}Q^{s_1}} \cdots \overline{\beta^{\epsilon_k}Q^{s_k}}\iota$, where $\iota \in H_{2l+1}S^{2l+1}$ is a generator, for any completely unadmissable sequences $(\epsilon_1, s_1, \ldots, \beta_k, s_k)$.*

Proof. We prove this by induction by relating our Lie power operations to the computation in [AM99]. Let for ease of notation $X = S^{2l+1}$. For the induction start let $i \geq l$ and $\epsilon = 0, 1$ then the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{*+1}(X) & \xrightarrow{T_p \otimes q_{i,\epsilon}} & H_{*+4i-\epsilon}(\mathbb{D}_p(X)) \\ \| & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle T_p} \\ \Sigma^{-1}H_*(X) & \xrightarrow{q_{i,\epsilon}} & \Sigma^{-1}H_{*+4i-\epsilon}(X^p_{h\Sigma_p}) \end{array}$$

and shows that the element called $[\beta^\epsilon Q^i\iota]$ is equal to $\overline{\beta^\epsilon Q^i}(\iota)$ for ι a generator of $H_{2l+1}(X)$. We see that the map $\Sigma^{-k}H_*(X^{p^{k+1}}_{h\Sigma_p^{\int k}}) \to H_*(\mathbb{D}_{p^k}(X))$ is induced by the cell labelled by $T_{p,k}$ in the simplicial cell structure. By converting levelled trees to metric trees, then grafting, and converting back to levelled trees, we can see that under the map $\partial_p \wedge \partial_{p^k}^{\wedge p} \xrightarrow{\xi} \partial_{p^{k+1}}$ we have in simplicial cells that $T_p \otimes T_{p,k}^{\otimes p} \mapsto T_{p,k+1}$. Pick $I = (\epsilon, i, \epsilon_k, i_k, \ldots \epsilon_1, i_1)$ to be a completely unadmissable sequence and study the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H_{*+1}\mathbb{D}_{p^k}(X) & \xrightarrow{T_p \wedge q_{i,\epsilon}} & H_*(\partial_p \wedge_{h\Sigma_p} \mathbb{D}_{p^k}(X)) & \xrightarrow{\xi} & H_*\mathbb{D}_{p^{k+1}}(X) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle T_p \wedge (T_{p,k})^{\wedge p}} & & \uparrow \\ {\scriptstyle T_{p,k}} & & \Sigma^{-1}H_*(\Sigma^{-k}X^{\wedge p^k}_{h\Sigma_p^{\int k}})^{\wedge p}_{h\Sigma_p} & & {\scriptstyle T_{p,k+1}} \\ & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \tau} & & \\ \Sigma^{-k-1}H_*X^{\wedge p^k}_{h\Sigma_p^{\int k}} & \xrightarrow{q_{i,\epsilon}} & \Sigma^{-1}\Sigma^{-k}H_*(X^{\wedge p^k}_{h\Sigma_p^{\int k}})^{\wedge p}_{h\Sigma_p} & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \Sigma^{-k-1}H_*X^{\wedge p^{k+1}}_{h\Sigma_p^{\int k+1}} \end{array}$$

By the argument above this diagram commutes. Further, if we start in the lower left corner with the element $\beta^{\epsilon_1}Q^{i_1} \wr \cdots \wr \beta^{\epsilon_k}Q^{i_k}\iota$, then chasing through the upper left corner gives us $\overline{\beta^{\epsilon}Q^{i}}\big(\overline{\beta^{\epsilon_1}Q^{i_1}}(\cdots \overline{\beta^{\epsilon_k}Q^{i_k}}(\iota)\dots\big)$ in the upper right corner by the induction hypothesis, and chasing through the lower right corner gives us $[\beta^{\epsilon_1}Q^{i_1} \wr \cdots \wr \beta^{\epsilon_k}Q^{i_k}\iota]$ in the upper right corner. □

2.4. **The Even Dimensional Case.** Ideally we would like to mimic the way of interposing relations on odd elements in the even case. Unfortunately this is not possible, the argument in [AM99] does not for work in the even case, as the "pure" complex is not an actual subcomplex in this case. This is similar to the need for coefficients in the sign representation in [AD01].

From [Beh12] we know that the EHP sequence induces the following result:

Proposition 2.11 (Behrens, [Beh12])**.** *For $n > 1$ the following are fiber sequences of spectra:*

$$\mathbb{D}_{2m}(S^n) \xrightarrow{E} \Sigma^{-1}\mathbb{D}_{2m}(S^{n+1}) \xrightarrow{H} \mathbb{D}_m(S^{2n+1}) \tag{1}$$

$$\mathbb{D}_{2m-1}(S^n) \xrightarrow{E} \Sigma^{-1}\mathbb{D}_{2m-1}(S^{n+1}) \xrightarrow{H} * \tag{2}$$

Let $F : Top_* \to Top_*$ be a finitary homotopy functor, and let $\partial_*(F)$ denote its derivatives. Then as modules over ∂_* we have from [AC11] the following identification $\partial_m(\Omega\Sigma) \simeq \Sigma^{-1}\partial_m \wedge S^m$, so the suspension natural transformation $E : X \to \Omega\Sigma X$ induces a map $E : \partial_m \to \Sigma^{-1}\partial_m \wedge S^m$ compatible with the ∂_*-module structure. Therefore we get, for any spectrum X and m, natural maps $E : \mathbb{D}_m(X) \to \Sigma^{-1}\mathbb{D}_m(\Sigma X)$ such that if $x \in H_*\mathbb{D}_m(X)$ then $E : \mathbb{D}_{pm}(X) \to \Sigma^{-1}\mathbb{D}_{pm}(\Sigma X)$ satisfies $E(\overline{\beta^{\epsilon}Q^{i}}(x)) = \overline{\beta^{\epsilon}Q^{i}}(E(x))$. Similarly, the map H preserves Lie power operations.

Corollary 2.12. *For $l \geq 1$ then as a $\mathbb{F}_p$-vector space $H_*\mathbb{D}_m(S^{2l})$ has a basis*

- $\big\{\overline{\beta^{\epsilon_1}Q^{s_1}} \cdots \overline{\beta^{\epsilon_k}Q^{s_k}}\iota \big| s_k \geq l,\ s_i > ps_{i+1} - \epsilon_{i+1}\,\forall i\big\}$ *when $m = p^k$ for some k,*
- $\big\{\overline{\beta^{\epsilon_1}Q^{s_1}} \cdots \overline{\beta^{\epsilon_k}Q^{s_k}}[\iota,\iota] \big| s_k \geq 2l,\ s_i > ps_{i+1} - \epsilon_{i+1}\,\forall i\big\}$ *when $m = 2p^k$ for some k, and*
- $\emptyset$ *when $m \neq 2p^k$, p^k for any k.*

where $\iota \in H_*\mathbb{D}_1(S^{2l}) = H_*(S^{2l})$ is a generator.

Proof. This clearly follows from Proposition 2.11, Theorem 2.9 and 2.10. □

Remark 2.13. *We would like to extend our computations to non-simply connected algebras over ∂_*, unfortunately this has proven difficult. For negative odd classes Theorem 2.9 and Proposition 2.10 carries through with out problems. Unfortunately Proposition 2.11 relies on the unstable fact that $S^n \to \Omega S^{n+1} \to \Omega S^{2n+1}$ is a fiber sequence, and therefore does not easily extend to negative spheres.*

Conjecture 2.14. *Proposition 2.11 holds for negative n as well.*

Corollary 2.15. *For $p = 3$, and $l > 0$. In $H_*(\mathbb{D}_3(S^{2l}))$ the element $[\iota_{2l}, [\iota_{2l}, \iota_{2l}]]$ is trivial.*

Proof. By the equivalence $H_*\mathbb{D}_3(S^{2l-1}) \simeq H_*\Sigma^{-1}\mathbb{D}_3(S^{2l})$, in dimension $6l-3$ of the homology left side has exactly one generator $\overline{\beta Q^l}\iota_{2l-1}$ which maps to $\sigma^{-1}\overline{\beta Q^l}\iota_{2l}$ under the identification, where σ^{-1} is the de-suspension. We therefore just need to show that $[\iota_{2l},[\iota_{2l},\iota_{2l}]]$ is not a non-trivial multiple of $\overline{\beta Q^l}\iota_{2l}$. Tracing through the definitions we see that $\overline{\beta Q^l}\iota_{2l}$ is carried by the cell labelled by the tree T_3, and $[\iota_{2l},[\iota_{2l},\iota_{2l}]]$ is carried by the cell labelled by the Σ_3 orbit of $T^{1,3}$. The spectral sequence of Lemma 1.6 computing $H_*\mathbb{D}_3(S^{2l})$ has only two lines, so therefore we get a long exact sequence

$$\ldots \to H_{6l-2}(\Sigma^{-2}S^{2l})^{\wedge 3}_{h\Sigma_{T^{1,3}}} \to H_{6l-2}\mathbb{D}_3(S^{2l}) \to H_{6l-2}(\Sigma^{-1}S^{2l})^{\wedge 3}_{h\Sigma_{T_3}} \xrightarrow{d_1} \ldots$$

Clearly $\overline{\beta Q^l}\iota_{2l} \in H_{6l-2}\mathbb{D}_3(S^{2l})$ maps to $\sigma^{-1}\beta Q^l\iota_{2l} \in H_{6l-2}(\Sigma^{-1}S^{2l})^{\wedge 3}_{h\Sigma_{T_3}}$ by definition, where σ^{-1} denotes the desuspension, and $\Sigma_{T_3} \simeq \Sigma_3$. Hence the element $\sigma^{-2}\iota_{2l} \star \iota_{2l} \star \iota_{2l} \in H_{6l-2}(\Sigma^{-2}S^{2l})^{\wedge 3}_{h\Sigma_{T^{1,3}}}$, since $\Sigma_{T^{1,3}} \simeq \Sigma_2$, where $\star$ denotes the Pontryagin product, which maps to $[\iota_{2l},[\iota_{2l},\iota_{2l}]]$ in $H_{6l-2}\mathbb{D}_3(S^{2l})$, must map to the trivial element, this concludes the proof. □

3. Main Result

Before stating our main result, we will need a bit of terminology:

Definition 3.1. *If M_* is a graded $\mathbb{F}_p$ vector space with basis B, then define $\mathcal{A}(M_*)$ to be the graded vector space with basis*

$$B \cup \bigcup_{k\geq 2} \left\{ [a_1,[a_2,[\ldots,[a_{k-1},a_k]\ldots]] \middle| a_i \in B \right\}$$

Where $|[x,y]| = |x| + |y| - 1$. Define the free shifted Lie algebra $\mathbf{s}\mathcal{L}(M_*)$ to be $\mathcal{A}_*$ modulo the relations for all $x,y,z \in \mathcal{A}(M_*)$

- $[x,y] + [x,z] = [x,y+z]$ *(Linearity)*
- $[x,y] = (-1)^{|x||y|}[y,x]$ *(Graded Commutativity)*
- $(-1)^{|x||z|}[x,[y,z]] + (-1)^{|y||z|}[y,[z,x]] + (-1)^{|z||y|}[z,[x,y]] = 0$ *(The Graded Jacobi Identity)*
- *For $p=3$* $[x,[x,x]] = 0$

We define the free shifted Lie algebra with Power operations, $\mathbf{s}\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(M_*)$ to be

$$\bigcup_{k\geq 0} \left\{ \overline{\beta^{\epsilon_1}Q^{s_1}} \cdots \overline{\beta^{\epsilon_k}Q^{s_k}}x \middle| x \in \mathbf{s}\mathcal{L}(M_*),\ s_k \geq \frac{|x|}{2},\ s_i > ps_{i+1} - \epsilon_{i+1} \forall i \right\}$$

subject to linearity of the power operations.

Clearly given any spectrum X we have a map $\mathbf{s}\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(H_*(X)) \to H_*\mathbb{P}(X)$, where $\mathbb{P} : Sp \to \partial_* - Alg$ is the free ∂_*-algebra functor (recall that $\mathbb{P}(X) \simeq \bigvee_n \mathbb{D}_n(X)$).

We are now ready to state our main theorem:

Theorem 3.2. *If X is a 1-connected spectra, then the map $\mathbf{s}\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(H_*(X)) \to H_*\mathbb{P}(X)$ is an isomorphism*

Proof. The proof follows from the results above and arguments completely analogous to the proof of Theorem 7.1 in [AC15], which I will summarize here.

Step one is showing that the homology of $\mathbb{P}(X)$ depends only on the homology of X. In fact Antolin-Camarena shows that this is true for any operad in spectra. From here we need to prove the theorem in increasing generality. The first case is taken care of by noting that we have already proved the theorem in the case where X is a sphere. From here we can use a result of Arone and Kankaanrinta [AK98] to extend the result to when X is a finite wedge of spheres, and then we note that this also gives us the case for arbitrary wedges of spheres, writing it as a filtered colimit of finite wedges of spheres, and recall that homology and free constructions commute with filtered colimits. The last case is for a general X, we simply pick a basis for $H_*(X)$ $\{x_i\}$, and then use the following homology isomorphism:

$$H_*(\bigvee_i S^{|x_i|}) \to H_*(X)$$

given by the sum of the x_i's and that gives the full result. □

One could have hoped for a description of the relations the power operations satisfy, as was done for the $p = 2$ case in [Beh12]. The argument there relies on a good understanding of the homology of the James-Hopf map (see [Kuh83]), which due to combinatorics appears harder to obtain for odd primes.

Conjecture 3.3. *The Lie power operations satisfy the mixed Adem relations, see* [CLM76] *II.3 for a statement of these.*

Remark 3.4. *Note that to prove Conjecture 3.3 for positive homology classes, it will suffice to prove the following:*

The transfer $H_*(\Sigma_{p^2}) \to H_*(\Sigma_p \int \Sigma_p)$ is given by $\beta^\epsilon Q^i Q^j \mapsto \beta^\epsilon Q^i Q^j +$ Mixed Adem Relations.

The conjecture then follows from the fact that the kernel of the surjection $\Sigma^{-2} H_*(S^{2l+1})^{p^2}_{h\Sigma_p \wr \Sigma_p} \to H_* \mathbb{D}_{p^2}(S^{2l+1})$ from [AM99] *is given by the image of the transfer $H_*(S^{2l+1})^{p^2}_{h\Sigma_{p^2}} \to H_*(S^{2l+1})^{p^2}_{h\Sigma_p \wr \Sigma_p}$.*

Note that Conjecture 3.3 and Remark 3.4 hold for $p = 2$.

References

[AC11] Gregory Arone and Michael Ching, *Operads and chain rules for the calculus of functors*, Société mathématique de France, 2011.

[AC15] Omar Antolin-Camarena, *The mod 2 homology of free spectral lie algebras*, Ph.D. thesis, 2015.

[AD01] Greg Arone and WG Dwyer, *Partition complexes, Tits buildings and symmetric products*, Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society **82** (2001), no. 01, 229–256.

[AK98] Greg Arone and Marja Kankaanrinta, *The homology of certain subgroups of the symmetric group with coefficients in lie (n)*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra **127** (1998), no. 1, 1–14.

[AM99] Greg Arone and Mark Mahowald, *The Goodwillie tower of the identity functor and the unstable periodic homotopy of spheres*, Inventiones mathematicae **135** (1999), no. 3, 743–788.

[Beh11] Mark Behrens, *The Goodwillie tower for S^1 and Kuhn's theorem*, Algebraic & Geometric Topology **11** (2011), no. 4, 2453–2475.

[Beh12] ———, *The Goodwillie tower and the EHP sequence*, vol. 218, American Mathematical Society, 2012.

[BMMS86] Robert Ray Bruner, Jon Peter May, James M. McClure, and Mark Steinberger, *H_∞ ring spectra and their applications*, Springer-Verlag, 1986.

[Chi05] Michael Ching, *Bar constructions for topological operads and the Goodwillie derivatives of the identity*, Geometry & Topology **9** (2005), no. 2, 833–934.

[CLM76] Frederick Ronald Cohen, Thomas Joseph Lada, and Peter J May, *The homology of iterated loop spaces*.

[GK$^+$94] Victor Ginzburg, Mikhail Kapranov, et al., *Koszul duality for operads*, Duke mathematical journal **76** (1994), no. 1, 203–272.

[Goo03] Thomas G Goodwillie, *Calculus III: Taylor series*, Geometry & Topology **7** (2003), 645–711.

[HSS00] Mark Hovey, Brooke Shipley, and Jeff Smith, *Symmetric spectra*, Journal of the American Mathematical Society **13** (2000), no. 1, 149–208.

[KM95] Igor Kriz and J Peter May, *Operads, algebras, modules and motives*, Société mathématique de France, 1995.

[Kuh83] Nicholas J Kuhn, *The homology of the James-Hopf maps*, Illinois Journal of Mathematics **27** (1983), no. 2, 315–333.